

**VICARAGE CLOSE, MARLBOROUGH - PARKING CONTROLS****OBJECTIONS/SUPPORT**

**3 letters of support and 22 letters of objection were received**

1. Mrs M M Upfold – 24 Vicarage Close	Full support
2. Mr R A Reader – 3 Vicarage Close	Full support
3. Mr and Mrs Lawson-Hall	A
4. A resident of Vicarage Close	B
5. Mrs V Mellor – 41 St. Martins	C
6. A resident of St. Martins	C and D
7. Mr R Ellis – 32 St. Martins	E
8. G Clarkson – 13 St. Martins	F
9. Mr D Harrison – 26 St. Martins	G
10. A resident of St. Martins	C
11. Mrs C de Neumann – 33 St. Martins	E
12. A resident of St. Martins	C
13. A resident of St. Martins	F and G
14. Mr and Mrs Osborne – 36 St. Martins	F and G
15. Ms P Lively – 2 South View Place	F and G
16. Miss H Lambert – 28 St. Martins	G
17. Ms I B Currie – 37 St. Martins	G and H
18. Mrs H Strugnell – 35 St. Martins	G and H
19. A resident of St. Martins	H
20. A resident of St. Martins	F
21. A resident of St. Martins	F and G
22. Mr N Strugnell – 35 St. Martins	E
23. A resident of St. Martins	E
24. Mr Nicholson – 22 St. Martins	E
25. Ms Rubbold – 22 St. Martins	E

**REASONS FOR OBJECTIONS**

- A. In favour of the proposal, but is concerned that the proposed no waiting Monday to Friday 10.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. should be no waiting Monday to Saturday 8.00 a.m. to 6.00 p.m., as fears the road will be blocked outside of those times.
- B. Objects to the no waiting Monday to Friday outside his property and believes it should be no waiting at any time.
- C. Objectors believe proposals are unreasonable and unenforceable. Feels that parking in the conservation area is difficult already without restricting further areas without offering a replacement.
- D. Objector questions the reasons, the extent and the manageability of the proposed extent of restrictions. Asks why this comparably small scheme is being progressed at the expense of more pressing congestion issues in Marlborough.

## **REASONS FOR OBJECTIONS CONT...**

- E. Objectors ask where will they park if these proposals go ahead? Will alternative provisions be made available to provide on-street parking for residents elsewhere and can you ensure this displacement will not exacerbate problems in another location.
- F. Objectors believe this piecemeal approach to parking restrictions offers no obvious benefits to either Vicarage Road with increased signage and further restrict places for St. Martins residents to park and is a waste of tax payers money.
- G. All properties in Vicarage Close have off-street parking so they have no need to park on the road. St. Martins properties however do not. If the Council were to promote a resident only scheme for St. Martins, then there would be little need for St. Martins residents to park in Vicarage Close.
- H. Currently the distances some residents of St. Martins have to park from their home can be unacceptable especially if a lone female. Parking in the area is already difficult and these proposals will further compound the problem.